UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Robert W. Green	CASE NO. CV 12-00055 DMR
Plaintiff(s),	
V. Pachtal Corneration at al	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Bechtel Corporation, et al.	
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 at The parties agree to participate in the following AI	nd ADR L.R. 3-5:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (A Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8	ny other form of ADR must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process: Private ADR (please identify process)	ss and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR process)	lline is 90 days from the date of the order ss unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: 4-5-12	R. Scott Houtz Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 4-5-12	Thomas M. McInerney
	Attorney for Defendant

Case 4:12-cv-00055-CW Document 15 Filed 04/06/12 Page 2 of 2

[PROPOSED] ORDER			
		The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED. The deadline is 90 days from the date of this order.	
Dated:	4/6/2	012 Chaleler	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."